# An investigation on the tensile properties and micro-structure of hybrid metal matrix composites

# V. Suresh\* and N. Hariharan

Department of Mechanical Engineering, SCAD Institute of Technology, Palladam, Coimbatore, India Email: winsureshv2011@gmail.com Email: hariharansns@gmail.com \*Corresponding author

# S. Vellingiri

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Coimbatore Institute of Technology, Coimbatore, India Email: giri\_legends@yahoo.com

Abstract: In recent years, aluminium metal matrix composites (AMMCs) have emerged as a promising high class of materials. Metal matrix composites are emerging as very promising materials especially in the fields of aerospace, electrical, electronics and automotive for their various applications and technical demanding properties. In the present work, a brave is consummate to prepare and compare the tensile properties of LM25-Gr and LM25-graphite/boron carbide (B4C) hybrid composites. The composites were primed to make use of stir casting process in which quantity of reinforcement is speckled from 4 wt% of Gr and 3 wt% of B<sub>4</sub>C. The prepared composites are characterised by micro-structural studies and tensile properties were estimated as per the standards. The microphotographs of the composites revealed the reasonably homogeneous supply of the particles in composites with a group at a small number of places. The dispersed graphite and B<sub>4</sub>C in LM25 alloy contributed in enhancing the tensile strength of the composites. The SEM of the illustration specifies the homogeneous supply of the reinforcement particles in the matrix without any annulled.

**Keywords:** metal matrix composites; aluminium alloy LM25; tensile strength; B<sub>4</sub>C; Gr; scanning electron microscopy; SEM; stir casting; micro-structure.

**Reference** to this paper should be made as follows: Suresh, V., Hariharan, N. and Vellingiri, S. (2018) 'An investigation on the tensile properties and micro-structure of hybrid metal matrix composites', *Int. J. Materials and Product Technology*, Vol. 56, Nos. 1/2, pp.84–94.

**Biographical notes:** V. Suresh has been working as an Associate Professor in the Department of Mechanical Engineering, SCAD Institute of Technology, Palladam, Coimbatore, India since 2015. In 2014, he received his PhD in Mechanical Engineering from Anna University, Chennai. In 2005, he started as a Lecturer in Mechanical Engineering at SSM. Later, he worked as a Lecturer,

Senior Lecturer and Assistant Professor at Velalar, Kalaivani, CMS, Jay Shriram and JCT. His areas of interest include finite element analysis, machine design and manufacturing, hydraulics and pneumatics and composite materials. He has published papers in several international journal proceedings and conference proceedings.

N. Hariharan is working as an Assistant Professor in the Department of Mechanical Engineering at SCAD Institute of Technology. The subjects he handled are heat and mass transfer, thermal engineering, power plant engineering, gas dynamics and jet propulsion and advanced IC engines. Currently, he is pursuing his PhD at Anna University. He has published two papers in an international journal and one paper in an international conference. He received his Master's in Thermal Engineering and Bachelor in Mechanical Engineering from Anna University, Chennai. His areas of interest include heat transfer, thermal engineering gas dynamics and IC engines.

S. Vellingiri is working as an Assistant Professor in the Department of Mechanical Engineering, Coimbatore Institute of Technology. He received his Bachelor's degree in Mechatronics Engineering from Anna University, Chennai in 2009 and obtained Master's degree in CAD/CAM Engineering from Anna University, Coimbatore in 2011. He is currently pursuing his PhD under Anna University, Chennai. He started his teaching job as an Assistant Professor at Dr. N.G.P Institute of Technology, Coimbatore. In 2012, he had joined Coimbatore Institute of Technology, Coimbatore. He has published one paper in international journal and a number of papers in conferences in the area of manufacturing and mechanical engineering. He has attended and organised various workshop, conferences, FDP, and training programs at different levels. His areas of specialisation are CAD/CAM and mechatronics.

#### **1** Introduction

Matrix material distinguishes the MMC from the unreinforced matrix in terms of increased strength, higher elastic modulus, higher service temperature, improved wear resistance, high electrical and thermal conductivity, low coefficient of thermal expansion and high vacuum environmental resistance. These properties can be attained with the proper choice of matrix and reinforcement. The main function of the matrix is to transfer and distribute the load to the reinforcement. This transfer of the load depends on the bonding which depends on the type of matrix and reinforcement and the fabrication technique (Suresh et al., 1993; Clyne, 1995).

The matrix material should be carefully chosen depending on its properties and behaviour with the reinforcement. As it is the primary constituent in MMC, the matrix alloy should be chosen only after giving careful consideration to its chemical compatibility with the reinforcement, to its ability to wet the reinforcement, and to its own characteristic properties and processing behaviour (Mehrabian et al., 1974).

Aluminium-based metal matrix composites (MMCs) have received increasing attention in recent decades as engineering materials. The introduction of a ceramic material into a metal matrix produces a composite material that results in an attractive combination of physical and mechanical properties which cannot be obtained with monolithic alloys (Christy et al., 2010).

LM25 is a common purpose alloy of aluminium is used where good mechanical properties are needed. It has a good resistance to corrosion and has a high strength. It responds well to heat treatment and is available in four different conditions. Its uses are increased by its availability in as-cast and partially heat-treated condition as well. Common applications of LM25 are electrical, food, chemical, marine for example plus numerous other wide-ranging uses. It is extensively used in the automotive sector where cylinder blocks, wheels, heads and other parts are regularly cast in this aluminium alloy. LM25 offers a good machinability (Shabani et al., 2012).

Reinforcement increases the strength, stiffness, and the temperature resistance capacity and lowers the density of MMC. In order to achieve these properties the selection depends on the type of reinforcement, its method of production and chemical compatibility with the matrix and the following aspects must be considered while selecting the reinforcement material. Reinforcements are characterised by their chemical composition, shape, dimensions, and properties as in gradient material and their volume fraction and spatial distribution in the matrix (Ahamed, 2009).

The various reinforcements that have been tried out to develop aluminium matrix composites (AMCs) are graphite, silicon carbide, titanium carbide, tungsten, boron, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, flyash, Zr, TiB<sub>2</sub>. The addition of hard reinforcements such as silicon carbide, alumina, and titanium carbide improves hardness, strength and wear resistance of the composites (Baradeswaran, 2011; Suresh et al., 2003; Christy et al., 2010).

The sliding wear tests were carried out on different sizes and volume fractions of coated  $B_4C$  particles reinforced 2024 aluminium alloy composites fabricated by a squeeze casting method. The micro structural examination showed that the  $B_4C$  distributions were generally homogeneous in the matrix while some particle clustering was observed at relatively high particle containing composites (Mazahery and Shabani, 2012). The enhancement of wear resistance with a small amount of SiC and  $B_4C$  is achieved by the cooperating effect of reinforcement particles (Uthayakumar et al., 2013). The present study focuses on the influence of addition of graphite particulates as a second reinforcement on the tribological behaviour of aluminium matrix composites reinforced with SiC particulates (Suresha et al., 2010).

Comparison of the wear losses for the monolithic Al-Si7 matrix and its composites revealed that alumina fibers improved this property, but the addition of graphite improved seizure resistance. The composites reinforced with graphite fibers were less sensitive to the applied load than both the matrix and the composites reinforced with graphite flakes (Naplocha and Granat, 2008). The stir-casting manufacturing route followed by hot extrusion was utilised, being one of the cost-effective industrial methods (Shorowordi et al., 2003).

The focus of this experiment is to investigate the effects of different factors such as:

- 1 Tensile specimen strength.
- 2 Cast specimen micro-structure.
- 3 Tensile specimen SEM analysis of the composites. Mechanical properties were evaluated as per ASTM B-557M standards using the computerised universal testing machine.

## 2 Experimental details

### 2.1 Material selection

LM25 aluminium alloy having a density of 2.68 gm/cm<sup>3</sup> and protuberant properties like weight, toughness, heat conduction etc., be chosen as the base matrix due to its usage in automotive pistons. In the purpose of increasing the wear resistance of this piston alloy, B4C particles of 200 mesh sizes were choosing as reinforcement. This B4C has the lower density (2.52 gm/cm<sup>3</sup>), higher hardness relative to SiC and  $Al_2O_3$ , excellent chemical and thermal stability (Jiang et al., 2006), which makes it as a suitable reinforcement to improve the wear performance of the alloy. this graphite density 2.26 g/cm<sup>3</sup> and the hardness of the composites decreases as the % of graphite (Gr) increases (Ravindran et al., 2012). The spectroscopy analysis was carried out for LM25 aluminium alloy and its chemical composition was given in Table 1.

 Table 1
 Chemical composition of LM 25 aluminium alloy

| Elements | Si   | Fe   | Cu   | Mn   | Mg   | Cr   | Ni   | Sn   | Ti   | Pb   | Ca | Sb | Zn   | Al      |
|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----|----|------|---------|
| %        | 7.23 | 0.73 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.29 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0  | 0  | 0.14 | Balance |

#### 2.2 Preparation of composite

Stir casting process was used for the fabrication of the composite due to its cost-effectiveness (Mishra et al., 2012). Primarily matrix material was tended to the graphite crucible and melted in an electric resistance furnace. The melting of the alloy takes place in an inert gas atmosphere, which avoids chemical reaction and produces a sound casting. Subsequently attaining the molten metal condition, preheated reinforcements was added regularly to the molten metal and stirred continuously at 350 rpm for six minutes to ensure uniform distribution of reinforcement particles of molten metal. The molten metal was then poured at the temperature of 760°C into preheated (300°C) steel molds of dimensions  $100 \times 14$  mm and allowed to solidify.

## **3** Testing of composites

#### 3.1 Tensile strength

The micro tensile test was conceded out in harmony with ASTM B-557M standards by means of different specimens as a dimension of 50 mm length and gauge length of 30 mm as shown in Figure 1 for each MMC's family. The cast specimens are prepared by the machining as per the standard. The micro-tension was conceded out for the elongation, load capacity, tensile properties, with respect to the speed, for the sample, tensile readings recorded. The digital tensometer among two perfunctory seize is used to hold the tensile specimen as shown in Figure 2.





Figure 2 Digital tensometer setup (see online version for colours)



### 3.2 Microstructural investigation

The composite specimen remained erudite for eliminating rubbish present on the surface. Particle distribution remains estimated at the support of optical microscopes. The casting process remained inspected under the optical microscope to determine the reinforcement pattern of cast structure. A section remained cut from the castings. They remained grained using 100 grit silicon carbide paper tracked by 220, 400, 600 and 1,000 grades of emery paper before optical surveillance the samples were mechanically polished and etched by Keller's reagent to obtain a better disparity. The specimens remained pictured on diverse magnifications (100x, 200x, 400x) to display the occurrence of reinforcements and its distribution of the metal matrix diverse elements/ compounds which were present in the graphite and boron carbide are difficult to distinguish by optical micrographs.

### 3.3 SEM analysis

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM), also known as SEM analysis or SEM microscopy, is used very efficiently in microanalysis and failure psychotherapy of solid inorganic materials. Scanning electron microscopy is achieved at high exaggerations, engenders high-resolution imagery and accurately measures very tiny facial appearance and objects. SEM provides completed high-resolution imagery of the taster by rastering a focused electron beam across the surface and distinguishes derived or backscattered electron signal. An energy dispersive X-ray analyser is also used to endow with elemental detection and quantitative compositional information.

#### 4 Results and discussions

#### 4.1 Tensile test

From Figure 3, its demonstrates that the tensile strength of the composites augmented with augmenting in  $B_4C$  reinforcement, and the tensile strength is additional in hybrid composites than single reinforcement. Figure 3 shows the variation in micro tensile strength with the MMC's. While increasing the reinforcement of graphite (4%) and boron carbide (3%) load value increase gradually.



Figure 3 Tensile strength of composites (see online version for colours)

## 4.2 Microstructure

# 4.2.1 Microstructure of LM 25 with 4% of Gr

The optical microstructures of aluminium alloy LM 25 with a reinforcement of 4% graphite are shown in Figure 4 at different magnifications 100x, 200x and 400x. Micro structural investigation reveals that the particle distribution in the matrix was uniform. It was clear that the 4% graphite react with the aluminium and arising  $Al_4C_3$  carbide induces corrosion and its larger volume in relation to the substrates can cause squeezing it out from the matrix to the reinforcement. This lead to breaking and degradation of the reinforcement, and finally lead to deterioration of the strength properties.

Figure 4 Optical microstructure of the aluminium alloy LM25 with 4 % Gr, (a) 100x (b) 200x and (c) 400x (see online version for colours)





(c)

# 4.2.2 Microstructure of LM 25 with 4 % of Gr and 3 % of $B_4C$

The microstructures of pure LM 25 aluminium alloy and reinforcement 4% graphite and 3% boron carbide are shown in Figure 5 at different magnifications 100x, 200x and 400x. Micro structural investigation reveals that the particle distribution in the matrix was uniform. In this case, the presence of 3% boron adds an advantage by reducing the corrosion due to the reaction of aluminium with the graphite.

Figure 5 Optical microstructure of the aluminium alloy LM25 with 4% Gr and 3% B<sub>4</sub>C, (a) 100x (b) 200x and (c) 400x (see online version for colours)



(a)

(b)



(c)

#### 4.3 SEM analysis

The fracture accessible in Figure 6 demonstrated a graphite flake protruding from the matrix. It seems that the graphite flake with characteristic laminar structure is detained in the matrix. The graphite surface appears to be clean devoid of any reaction products of stuck particles of the matrix material.





25kU ×100 1000m 22 48 8E1 (c)

The SEM images of the aluminium composite are shown in Figure 7. It clearly reveals that the fracture is ductile. The grains are clearly visible as well as it is uniformly distributed. From this result, it can be concluded that the fracture initiates at the corroded part which is discussed in optical micrography. When the entitlement of boron carbide was escalating the ductility behaviour of the material is diminished slightly, it results in an increase in hardness significantly.

Figure 7 SEM micrograph of the tensile test mixture Al/4 % Gr and 3 % B<sub>4</sub>C, (a) 500x (b) 200x and (c) 100x





(c)

#### 5 Conclusions

- It has been observed that the tensile properties of the composites such as tensile strength, of the composites, are also deeply prejudiced by the adding together of reinforcement.
- The tensile strength of Gr and Gr/B<sub>4</sub>C reinforced hybrid particulate aluminium composites was deliberated and the maximum tensile strength observed is 200 N/mm<sup>2</sup> at 4% of Gr and 3% of B<sub>4</sub>C.
- The tensile behaviour of SiC/Gr reinforced hybrid composites demonstrated improved results when contrasted with single reinforcement.

• From the studies of microstructure, it divulges that the uniform distribution of reinforcing particulates takes place in the matrix.

#### References

- Ahamed, A.R., Asokan, P. and Aravindan, S. (2009) 'EDM of hybrid Al-SiCp-B4Cp and Al-SiCp-Glassp-MMCs', *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, Vol. 44, Nos. 5–6, pp.520–528.
- Baradeswaran, A. (2011) 'Effect of graphite content on tribological behavior of aluminium alloy graphite composite', *European Journal of Scientific Research*, Vol. 53, No. 2, pp.163–170.
- Christy, T.V., Murugan, N. and Kumar, S. (2010) 'A comparative study on the microstructures and mechanical properties of Al 6061 alloy and the MMC Al 6061/TiB2/12P', *Journal of Minerals & Materials Characterization & Engineering*, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp.57–65.
- Clyne, T. and Withers, P.J. (1995) An Introduction to Metal Matrix, Cambridge University Press, First Paperback Edition, pp.218–265.
- Jiang, Q.C., Ma, B.X., Wang, H.Y., Wang, Y. and Dong, Y.P. (2006) 'Fabrication of steel matrix composites locally reinforced with in situ TiB2-TiC particulates using self-propagating high-temperature synthesis reaction of Al-Ti-B4C system during casting', *Composites: Part A*, Vol. 37, No. 1, pp.133–138.
- Mazahery, A. and Shabani, M.O. (2012), 'Influence of the hard coated B4C particulates on wear resistance of Al-Cu alloys', *Composites: Part B*, Vol. 43, No. 3, pp.1302–1308.
- Mehrabian, R., Riek, R.G. and Flemings, M.C. (1974) 'Preparation and casting of metal-particulate non-metal composites', *Metallurgical and Materials Transitions*, Vol. 5, No. 8, pp.1899–1905.
- Mishra, A.K., Sheokand, R. and Srivastava, R.K. (2012) 'Tribological behaviour of Al-6061/SiC metal matrix composite by Taguchi's techniques', *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, Vol. 2, No. 10, pp.1–8.
- Naplocha, K. and Granat, K. (2008) 'Dry sliding wear of Al/Saffil/C hybrid metal matrix composites', Wear, Vol. 265, Nos. 11–12, pp.1734–1740.
- Ravindran, P., Manisekar, K., Narayanasamy, P., Selvakumar, N. and Narayanasamy, R. (2012) 'Application of factorial techniques to study the wear of Al hybrid composites with graphite addition', *Materials and Design*, Vol. 39, pp.42–54.
- Shabani, M.O., Mazahery, A., Rahimipour, M.R. and Razavi, M. (2012) 'FEM and ANN investigation of A356 composites reinforced with B4C particulates', *Journal of King Saud*, *University – Engineering Sciences*, Vol. 24, No. 2, pp.107–113.
- Shorowordi, K.M., Laoui, T., Haseeb, A.S.M.A., Celis, J.P. and Froyen, L. (2003) 'Microstructure and interface characteristics of B4C, SiC and Al2O3 reinforced Al matrix composites: a comparative study', *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, Vol. 142, No. 3, pp.738–743.
- Suresh, K.R., Niranjan, H.B., Martin Jabraj, P. and Chowdaiah, M.P. (2003) 'Tensile and wear properties of aluminium composites', *Wear*, Vol. 255, pp.638–642.
- Suresh, S., Mortensen, A. and Needleman, A. (1993) Fundamentals of Metal Matrix Composites, 1st ed., pp.251–323, Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Suresha, S. and Sridhara, B.K. (2010) 'Effect of addition of graphite particulates on the wear behavior in aluminium silicon carbide-graphite composites', *Materials and Design*, Vol. 31, No. 4, pp.1804–1812.
- Uthayakumar, M., Aravindan, S. and Rajkumar, K. (2013) 'Wear performance of Al-SiC-B4C hybrid composites under dry sliding conditions', *Materials & Design*, Vol. 47, pp.456–464.